UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,860	04/28/2006	Leeor Aharon	1893/45	3794
	596 7590 08/18/2010 R. MARK M. FRIEDMAN		EXAMINER	
C/O BILL POLKINGHORN - DISCOVERY DISPATCH			PEARSON, DAVID J	
	9003 FLORIN WAY UPPER MARLBORO, MD 20772		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2437	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mark_f@friedpat.com nomi_m@friedpat.com friedpat.uspto@gmail.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/577,860	AHARON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DAVID J. PEARSON	2437					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ju</u>	ne 2010.						
	action is non-final.						
<i>'</i>	,						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-19 and 23-25</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-22 and 26</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	. 🗖						
1)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) To Hotice of Draitspersor's Patent Brawning Neview (P10-946) To Hotice of Braitspersor's Patent Brait							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/577,860 Page 2

Art Unit: 2437

1. Claims 1-14 and 16-26 have been examined.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, pages 11-13, filed 06/09/2010, with respect to claims 1, 11, 16, 17 and 20 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 USC 103 rejection of 04/27/2010 has been withdrawn.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. Claims 20-22 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 20-22 and 26 are directed towards an "apparatus". However, the components of the "apparatus" are "a plurality of software modules..." and "a processor..." While applicant's specification provides for what appears to be a hardware processor (note Fig. 2 and page 7, lines 12-17), this is only one example of a "processor". There are embodiments where the "processor" could be software (e.g. an operating system). Therefore, there exists embodiments of claims 20-22 and 26 that are composed entirely of software and are therefore non-statutory subject matter.

Examiner recommends amending claim 20 to recite "...a plurality of software modules stored on a program storage device, for detecting..." as similarly found in

independent claims 16 and 17 to force all embodiments of claims 20-22 and 26 to include a piece of hardware and thus be statutory subject matter.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-14, 16-19 and 23-25 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance.

For independent claims 1, 11 and 16-17, the prior art of record, alone or in combination, fails to teach the following limitation in conjunction with the rest of the claimed limitations:

- "(a) monitoring by the gateway for at least one suspicious portion of data in a portion of the stream of data traffic that is expected to lack executable code;
- (b) upon detecting said at least one suspicious portion of data, attempting to disassemble said at least one suspicious portion of data thereby attempting to produce disassembled executable code;"

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/577,860 Page 4

Art Unit: 2437

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J. PEARSON whose telephone number is (571)272-0711. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30am - 5:00pm; off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David J Pearson/ Examiner, Art Unit 2437